

BEFORE THE TENNESSEE REGULATORY AUTHORITY

NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE

August 24, 2005

IN RE:

**PETITION FOR APPROVAL OF THE
INTERCONNECTION AND RECIPROCAL
COMPENSATION AGREEMENT BETWEEN
TWIN LAKES TELEPHONE COOPERATIVE
CORPORATION AND SPRINT SPECTRUM, L.P.**

**DOCKET NO.
05-00153**

**ORDER APPROVING THE INTERCONNECTION AND
RECIPROCAL COMPENSATION AGREEMENT**

This matter came before Chairman Ron Jones, Director Pat Miller and Director Sara Kyle of the Tennessee Regulatory Authority (the "Authority"), the voting panel assigned to this docket, at a regularly scheduled Authority Conference held on August 8, 2005 to consider, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 252, the Petition for approval of the interconnection and reciprocal compensation agreement for the provision of commercial mobile radio services negotiated between Twin Lakes Telephone Cooperative Corporation and Sprint Spectrum, L.P. filed on May 31, 2005.

Based upon a review of the agreement, the record in this matter, and the standards for review set forth in 47 U.S.C. § 252, the Directors unanimously granted the Petition and made the following findings and conclusions:

- 1) The Authority has jurisdiction over public utilities pursuant to Tenn Code Ann. § 65-4-104 (2004).
- 2) The agreement is in the public interest as it provides consumers with alternative sources of telecommunications services within Twin Lakes Telephone Cooperative Corporation's service area.

3) The agreement is not discriminatory to telecommunications service providers that are not parties thereto

4) 47 U.S.C. § 252(e)(2)(A) provides that a state commission may reject a negotiated agreement only if it “discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement” or if the implementation of the agreement “is not consistent with the public interest, convenience or necessity.” Unlike arbitrated agreements, a state commission may not reject a negotiated agreement on the grounds that the agreement fails to meet the requirements of 47 U.S.C. §§ 251 or 252(d).¹ Thus, although the Authority finds that neither ground for rejection of a negotiated agreement exists, this finding should not be construed to mean that the agreement is consistent with §§ 251 or 252(d) or, for that matter, previous Authority decisions

5) No person or entity has sought to intervene in this docket.

6) The agreement is reviewable by the Authority pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 252 and Tenn Code Ann. § 65-4-104 (2004).

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED THAT:

The Petition is granted, and the interconnection and reciprocal compensation agreement for the provision of commercial mobile radio services negotiated between Twin Lakes Telephone Cooperative Corporation and Sprint Spectrum, L.P. is approved and is subject to the review of the Authority as provided herein.



Ron Jones, Chairman



Pat Miller, Director



Sara Kyle, Director

¹ See 47 U.S.C. § 252(e)(2)(B)